UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

FIFTH 7	THIRD BANK,	:	
Plaintiff(s),		Case No. 1:24-cv-00236	
v.		District Judge Jeffery P. Hopkins	
LOW V	OLTAGE,	Magistrate Judge	
\mathcal{L}	Defendant(s).	: :	
	RULE 26(f) REI	PORT OF PARTIES	
attended l	by: Jeff Hendricks for plaintiff, Jeff	·	
1.	Rule 26(a) Disclosures. The part	ies:	
	have provided the pre-discovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) including a medical package (if applicable).		
	⊠ will exchange such disclosures	s by 9/20/24.	
	are exempt from disclosure un	nder Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(E).	
2.	Magistrate Consent. The parties	:	
unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judgursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).			
	☑ do not unanimously consent to Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 630	o the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate 6(c).	
	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28	consent to the jurisdiction of the United States U.S.C. § 636(c), for trial purposes only, in the udge is unavailable on the date set for trial (e.g., il or criminal).	

3. Discovery Plan.

a. *Discovery Issues*. Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature, extent, and scope of discovery that each party needs to: (i) make

		ement evaluation, (ii) prepare for case dispositive motions, and (iii) re for trial:		
	Planti	ff's compliance with notice obligations; defendants' ability to pay		
b.	Bifurca	ation. The parties recommend that discovery:		
	⊠ nee	⊠ need not be bifurcated.		
	sho	ould be bifurcated between liability and damages.		
	sho	ould be bifurcated between factual and expert.		
	sho	ould be limited in some fashion or focused upon particular issues that to		
c.	Recom	mended Discovery Deadlines.		
	i.	Disclosure of lay witnesses: December 6, 2024		
	ii.	Disclosure and report of Plaintiff(s) expert(s): January 17, 2025		
	iii.	Disclosure and report of Defendant(s) expert(s): February 28, 2025		
	iv.	Disclosure and report of rebuttal expert(s): March 28, 2025		
	v.	Discovery cutoff: April 25, 2025		
d. Expert Testimony. Describe the areas in which expert testimony is eand indicate whether each expert had been or will be specifically within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2):		dicate whether each expert had been or will be specifically retained		
	The pa	arties do not anticipate expert discovery		
		ery of Electronically Stored Information. Have the parties discussed sure, discovery, and preservation of electronically stored information, ing the form or forms in which it should be produced?		
	⊠ Ye	S		
	□No			
	i.	The parties have electronically stored information in the following formats:		
		ESI may be contained in emails or the bank's electronic record management system		

ii. The case presents the following issues relating to disclosure, discovery, or preservation of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced:

The parties do not anticipate any unusual issues relating to ESI

f.	Claims of Privilege or Protection. Have the parties discussed issues regarding the protection of information by privilege or the work-product doctring including whether the parties agree to a procedure to assert these claimafter production or have any other agreements under Fed. R. Evid. 502?			
	☐ Ye	s		
	⊠ No			
	i.	The case presents the following issues relating to claims of privilege or of protection as trial preparation materials:		
		The parties do not anticipate any privilege issues that will require the Court's attention and believe that Rule 26(b)(5)'s assertion and clawback procedures will be sufficient		
	ii.	Have the parties agreed on a procedure to assert such claims AFTER production?		
		⊠ No		
		Yes		
		Yes, and the parties ask that the Court include the following agreement in the scheduling order:		
g.	Limita	tions on Discovery. Agreed changes in the limitations on discovery:		
	Extension of time limitations (currently one 7-hour day) in takin depositions to			
	Ex	tension of number of depositions (currently 10) permitted to		
	☐ Ex	tension of number of interrogatories (currently 25) to		
	Ot	her:		
	⊠ No	one		
h.	Protect	ive Order. The parties:		

		anticipate submitting a protective order to the Court on or before
		🔯 do not currently anticipate the need for a protective order. If the parties later deem that one is necessary, they will submit a joint proposed order to the Court.
		The parties should be familiar with <u>Shane Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan</u> , 825 F.3d 299 (6th Cir. 2016), its progeny, and the Court's procedure for sealing documents.
4.	Motio	on Deadlines. The parties recommend the following deadlines for filing:
	a.	Motions directed to the pleadings: October 4, 2024
	b.	Motions to amend the pleadings and/or add additional parties: October 4 2024
	c.	Dispositive motions: 45 days after close of discovery
5.	5. Hearing Dates. The parties recommend the following dates for:	
	a.	Status conference (if any): none
	b.	Final pretrial conference: 21 days prior to trial
	c.	Commencement of trial: 60 days after resolution of dispositive motions
		i. Anticipated number of days: 1-2
6.	6. Settlement and Alternative Dispute Resolution.	
	a.	Suggestions as to the type and timing of efforts at Alternative Dispute Resolution: the parties anticipate attempting to resolve the case through counsel, or engaging a private mediator to do so
	b.	Has a settlement demand been made? ☐ Yes ☒ No
		A response? Yes No
		Date by which a settlement demand can be made: 30 days
		Date by which a response can be made: 45 days
7.	Other	matters pertinent to the scheduling or management of this litigation:
	none	

s/ Jeffrey M. Hendricks	s/ Jeffrey M. Nye
Attorney for plaintiff	Attorney for defendants
Attorney for	Attorney for
Attorney for	Attorney for